

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ROBERT McCONNELL,

Petitioner,

v.

WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 3:10-cv-00021-GMN-WGC

**ORDER GRANTING
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
(ECF NO. 124)**

In this capital habeas corpus action, on May 28, 2021, the respondents filed a motion to dismiss (ECF No. 122). Under the scheduling order in the case, the petitioner, Robert McConnell, represented by appointed counsel, was due to respond to the motion to dismiss by July 27, 2021. See Order entered December 4, 2019 (ECF No. 91) (60 days for response to motion to dismiss).

On July 27, 2021, Petitioner filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 124), requesting a 62-day extension of time, to September 27, 2021, to file his response to the motion to dismiss. Petitioner's counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of his obligations in other cases. The respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time.

The Court finds that Petitioner's motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the requested extension of time.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for an Extension of Time (ECF No. 124) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner will have until and including **September 27, 2021**, to file his response to the respondents' motion to dismiss his second amended habeas petition.

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in all other respects, the schedule for further
2 proceedings set forth in the order entered December 4, 2019 (ECF No. 91) will remain
3 in effect.

4
5 DATED THIS 30 day of July, 2021.

6
7
8 
9 _____
10 GLORIA M. NAVARRO,
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28